(1)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

WRIT PETITION NO. 1513 /2005

PETITIONER :- Ashok Kumar Gupta son of Shri Nohar Prasad Gupta, aged about 30 years, resident of village Masanki, Village Panchayat Karwan, block Orgi, Tahsil Surajpur, District Surguja [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1] State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur [C.G.]

2] The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja [C.G.].

3] Sub Divisional Officer. [Revenue], Surajpur, District Surguja [C.G.]

4] The Deputy Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Ambikapur, District Surguja [C.G.].

5] The Chief Executive ~~no~~ Officer Janpad Panchayat, Orgi, District Surguja [C.G.]

6] Sarpanch Village Panchayat Karwan, Block Orgi, District Surguja [C.G.]

P.R. No. 1627/05
Presented by Shri S.K. Gadkari
dated 13.9.05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.No.1518/05..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21.4.2005 Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1 to 4 / State. Heard learned counsel for the parties. The petitioner's petition is that in the year 1995 he was appointed as Panchayat Karmi and thereafter, he was appointed as Panchayat Secretary. However, in the year 2003 the petitioner was removed from the services. In the year 2004 the Gram Sabha passed a resolution for appointment of the petitioner as Panchayat Secretary but respondent No.5-Chief Executive Officer is not obeying that resolution and not issuing the appointment order in favour of the petitioner. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.5 and he be directed to decide the representation in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for respondent No.1 to 4 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.5 within ten days from today raising all his grievances and in turn respondent no.5 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in an objective manner within a period of 15 days after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.2923/05 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Roshan